The 'Adhān of 'Uthmān (رَضِوَالِلَهُعَنْهُ): Bayān Talbīs al-Hajāwirah

A Defence of 'Uthmān Against the Claims of Yahyā al-Ḥajūrī and His Ḥaddādī Followers in Light of the Taḥqīq of the Scholars of Ahl al-Sunnah, Past and Present

http://www.alhajuri.com

بسم الله الرجمي م

الحمد لله رب العالمين والصلاة والسلام على رسول الله وعلى آله وصحبه وبعد

Introduction

Investigating the issue of the adhān instituted by 'Uthmān (مَوَالَيْهُ عَنْهُ) is sufficient to establish that the Ḥajūrites are muqallidah (blindfollowers), that they follow their desires once their opposition to the scholars of the Ummah at large is made clear to them, and that they are prepared to go to any lengths to defend their leader Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī even if his mistakes are as clear as the daylight sun.

In what follows, we take a look at the issue of the adhān of 'Uthmān (نکیکیت) as it is a good illustration of the types of deceptions used by al-Hajūrī and his followers in other issues for which he has also been criticised and refuted.

Understanding the Techniques Used by the Ḥajāwirah to Shield Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī and His Major and Most Serious Bidʿahs

As for what is mentioned about the Ḥajāwirah in the Netherlands,¹ their claim that the sum of all the refutations made against Yaḥya al-Ḥajūrī contain lies and distortions², that they translated and compiled

 $^{^1}$ This article is an extract from a longer article written in relation to some doubts presented by the <code>Haddādī Hajūrites</code> in the Netherlands.

² This is a hugely inflated claim. How can the sum of what al-Hajūri has been refuted for and the affairs in which he is refuted by the speech of the Major Scholars such as Shaykh al-Fawzān, Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn, Shaykh Rabi' and many of the students of knowledge all just be mere lies and distortions? In reality, there are firmly established evidences that al-Hajūrī has opposed the usūl of Ahl al-Sunnah. However, these Hajūrites started looking for mistakes of the Scholars like Shaykh Rabi and Shaykh 'Ubayd and imputed things to Shayh Muhammad bin Hādī and they began looking in turn for the mistakes of the Mashāyikh of Yemen, and employed all of that as a means of shielding the major errors of al-Hajūrī and diverting people's attention from them. And no doubt, Scholars can err and make slips, or they may have instances of unrestricted speech which need clarification, or they may have fatwas in relation to nawāzīl (issues affecting Muslims) in which they make ijtihād and may be right or wrong and so on. So the Hajūrites looked for these types of affairs in order to shield al-Hajūrī with respect to his calamitous mistakes wich involve revilement of the Messenger (مَوَأَلِنَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمَ), revilement of the Companions (رَضِوَاللَّهُ عَنْدُ) in numerous ways, making takfir unjustly (as he did with one of the sons of 'Adam), and opposing Ahl al-Sunnah in many other usul. This reveals a disgraceful, horrendous type of ghuluwww (extremism, **exaggeration)** which is actually proven further, when we look at the various statements of extremism which have been mentioned in front of al-Hajūrī, in praise of him. As an example, look at the poetry of Abū Hātim Saʿīd bin Di'ās al-Yāfi'ī who recited the following lines upon Yahyā al-Hajūrī's return from Hajj "Dammāj has inclined (affectionately) upon your arrival with in 1428H: rapture (great delight). And a must it was for it to incline (with affection) and

be enraptured. By the arrival of its shaykh (Dammāj), has nobility, just as Yathrib became ennobled by the arrival of our Mustafa (the Prophet). And he (al-Hajūrī) has the forbearance (kindness) of al-Māhi (the Eraser of falsehood, shirk]), the Messenger. And (he, Yahyā) has of 'Alī, his bravery and vigour. And (he has) of the khalīfah after the death of Muhammad (meaning, of Abū Bakr), a determination stronger and stiffer than iron. And (he has) of al-Fārūg ('Umar) the instilling of awe in the enemy upon (hearing) his voice and in the one who endears him. And of Ibn 'Affan (i.e. 'Uthman) the generosity of his soul and donning the garment of munificence always spending from it. And when he recites the Qur'an in prayer, we say the sweetest (voice) of Abū Mūsā al-Zubayrī. He is Khālid (bin Walīd) in times of war, a gladiator. However in the worldly (affairs), (like) Jundub. And of al-Dawsī, he has the memorization of his hadīth, and his time spent in righteous deeds is compounded. And (he has) of Ibn 'Abbās, the deep-rootedness of knowledge. He delivers verdicts and the fingertips write. And (he has) of Muʿāwiyah the son of Sakhr, his forbearance, he has become spacious (magnanimous) like an open desert, yet even more so (than the desert). And when the sanctuaries are violated in his presence, the vastness of space becomes (greatly) constricted and for the sake of al-Muhaymin does he display anger. And (he has) of Ibn al-'As his great shrewdness in the affairs, worldly-wise, and experienced. And (he has) of Ibn Hanbal, his patience and his trials and his firmness like a lofty mountain which does not waver. And (he has) of Imām al-Shāfi'ī, his intelligence, [and] for a lengthy duration after (acquiring) sciences, he [still] investigates (for more). And (he has) of Ibn Taymiyyah, the greatness of his jihād against falsehoods, not becoming fatigued or tired. And (he has) of the Imām al-Wādiī, his firmness, and of Ibn Baz his thought and refined manners. And (he has) of the Muhaddith, Nāsir (the likes of his) tireless authorship of books in spreading of the Sharīʿah. And (he has) of al-ʿUthaymīn al-Tamīmī his fiqh (understanding), he remains teaching (for length) not tiring but being pitched (ready for more). And (other) virtuous excellences I am not able to encompass which from the likes of me are hidden and are difficult (to quantify)." End poetry. And the same author of this poetry also said, "And over Gemini (is he) in its loftiness and it is as if he is a star amongst the stars." So this is the extremism found with these people, and this allows us to make sense of why the followers of al-Hajūrī have gone to such great lengths to defend al-Hajūrī. It is because they have ghuluww towards him like the ghuluww of the Sūfīs towards their shaykhs.

the various refutations against these criticisms into a lengthy PDF article, and subsequently affected some Salafīs who took sides with al-Hajūrī, believing that they looked at the evidences and were convinced that al-Hajūrī is correct or has been wronged, then you should note the following:

That for which al-<code>Hajūrī</code> has been criticized falls into a number of categories:

1. Great and mighty calamities in which the criticism is sound, strong and the hujjah has been established upon him and he has withheld from clarification and repentance or he has persisted in his falsehood. These are with respect to the most important uşūl of our religion, such as accusing the Companions (حَوَلَيْهَا اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّ واللَّاللَّهُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللللَّاللَّالَةُ اللَّالَاللَّال

³ In this issue the Ḥajāwirah make taqlīd of Shaykh Muqbil (حَمَدُاللَكُ) in whose time this issue had not been exhaustively debated and resolved by a detailed analysis of the claimed evidences. Thus, we can excuse those who have passed and may have held this view due to erroneous ijtihād. However, after exhaustive debate and after the truth has been made clear and all evidences evaluated and their reality made clear, such excuses are not possible for people who persist in this erroneous view due to **taʿaṣṣub** (partisanship), **taqlīd** (blind-following) and **hawā** (desire).

innovator on account of just one of these issues, let alone the collection of them, because he has not recanted or repented after the truth has been made clear. He and his followers played games and often changed goalposts in these issues as a means of deceiving others about the core underlying issue.⁴

2. Affairs for which he made an apparent or alleged retraction, and thus in their view, criticism of him in those matters is no longer justified. For example, the poet who described al-Hajūrī as "Imām al-Thaqalayn" has made open repentance on two occasions from this speech, but we have not heard al-Hajūrī himself announce his repentance and recantation because in many of these instances of ghuluww, he is found affirming them or remaining silent about them or thanking the writer or poet who expressed them. And no clear, explicit repentance has been found in the actual speech of al-Hajūrī. He may have statements like, "This is wrong", "I am not pleased with it", "Leave these affairs", "I don't agree with this" and the likes, but this does not amount to a recantation or repentance. The Hajūrītes only portray this as repentance and recantation. Despite their claims of al-Hajūrī having recanted, there are not any clear, unambiguous written or spoken words of recantation or repentance that have come from him in the major isssues that are established against him.

⁴ This is where you have be wary with respect to these slippery snakes in that in the course of argumentation, they will alter and change the goalposts and make the issue of contention different to what it was originally. Then they will start arguing and refuting on the newly-framed issue and not the original one that was raised. This is a tact that runs through many of al-Ḥajūrī's defences of himself and he is followed in this by his fanatical followers.

- 3. Affairs in which the criticism against al-Ḥajūrī is obscure, lacks clarity, needs further elaboration and thus appears weak and these types of criticisms give opportunity to the Ḥajāwirah to cast doubt on the firmly established and undeniable deviations and innovations al-Ḥajūrī has been criticised for. The Major Scholars who have criticized al-Ḥajūrī have only done so on the basis of clear, apparent issues⁵ but as for the refutations of many of those who write on forums and the likes, then perhaps there is to be found amongst them what is mentioned here. The Ḥajāwirah rejoice in their forums and gatherings with these affairs which blind them from the misguidance of al-Ḥajūrī in clear cut issues.
- 4. Criticisms which may have received legitimate replies and have been resolved or criticisms in which al-Ḥajūrī may have been wronged even. We say this to grant the Ḥajāwirah the best and most favourable situation for them to illustrate that even despite all of this, **they are still upon falsehood** and cannot defend al-Ḥajūrī on the most grave and serious of his errors and that al-Ḥajūrī remains an innovator, even just on one issue alone.

The Hajāwirah in Netherlands (and elsewhere) have simply gathered together the futile refutations by al-Ḥajūrī's students against the first category of issues in which the truth is not with them at all and added the remaining three categories to create "clutter" and "distraction" around the most serious issues so as to dilute them or push them out. A

⁵ Take for example the severe statements of Shaykh Rabi', Shaykh al-Fawzān and Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn in the issue of the first adhān of 'Uthmān (مَوَالَنَهُمَنَا).

person who does not know the realities and has not looked in fine detail in all the major issues (in the first category) and has not seen the clear falsehood of the Ḥajāwirah in trying to defend al-Ḥajūri, their deception and playing games, he will be confused or convinced by the remaining three categories.

So those who have been misguided and misled by those Hajāwirah from amongst the Salafis, they should repent and restore their intellects and sufficient for them are only a few issues, which if they were to look at it in detail, would indicate to them that Yahyā al-Ḥajūrī and his followers are people of desires and that they argue in falsehood. From them is the issue of 'Ūthmān (تَوَوَاللَّهُ عَنْدُ) and the first adhān of Jumuʿah. Anyone who looks into this one issue objectively and without bias will see the fraudulent attempts of the Hajāwirah to defend al-Hajūrī and that in the end, all they have left to fall back upon, is the very taqlīd they claim to fight against - devoid of any evidences whatsoever. After they were left empty-handed by the refutations of the Scholars like Shaykh Rabi, Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn, Shaykh al-Fawzān⁶ and others, they deliberately chose taqlīd, ta'assub and hawā. Likewise the issue of accusing the Companions of participating in the murder of 'Uthmān (مَعَوَاللَّهُعَنَّهُ) and the various games played by al-Hajūrī in trying to cover that up.

⁶ Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn has stated that whoever says the ādhan of 'Uthmān an innovation reviles the Messenger, the Caliphs and the Companions and also that he is a foolish-minded astray innovator. Shaykh al-Fawzān said these people desire to declare 'Uthmān an innovator and that to hold this view about 'Uthmān is itself an innovation and that whoever holds this is an innovator. Refer to <u>http://www.alhajuri.com</u>.

So the point here is there are firmly established, valid criticisms against al-Ḥajūrī in matters of uṣūl and he has been refuted by a large number of Scholars and students of knowledge and a variety of issues, and he stands alone, there is none from the people of knowledge who are with him and defending him except those with bigotry towards him from his own students. Due to the zeal of these students, they spend hours and hours in compiling, writing, refuting in order to confuse the people so that those major and serious issues which are established against al-Ḥajūrī remain obscure and hidden. May save Ahl al-Sunnah from their evil and guide them, amīn.

Yahyā al-Ḥajūrī is a Mubtadi' (Innovator) on Just One Issue Alone, We do not Need Tens or Hundreds.

Al-Hajūrī 's View Comprises Revilement of the Messenger (سَيَالَنَدُعَلَيْدُوسَـلَمَ), Tabdī of Uthmān (رَضَوَالِنَدُعَنَدُ), the Companions and Almost the Entire Ummah⁷

If we accept - **just for argument's sake** - that al-Ḥajūrī has been lied upon by students of knowledge, his words have been twisted or that he has explained or taken back some of what he was criticised for - then know that none of that, if we grant it to the Ḥajūrites, would change the fact that al-Ḥajūrī is a mubtadi' (innovator) on just one or two or three issues alone. From them is imputing **bid'ah**, **mukhālafah** and **dalālah** to the action of 'Uthmān (خَوَلَقَائَهُ). This bid'ah is defended vigorously by al-Ḥajūrī and his followers who take the same judgement as him.

The view Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī is defending and fighting for, tooth and nail, has its origin in the saying of the Rāfīdī, Ibn al-Muṭahhir,⁸ and he relies

⁷ What is in this title is derived from the judgements of scholars such as Shaykh al-Fawzān, Shaykh Ibn al-Uthaymīn and from the very claims of al-Hajūrī and the principles he believes about those who act upon innovation or approve of it, that they are callers to innovation by their action alone. Please read all of this section and the statements of al-Hajūrī himself and the judgements of the Scholars upon his saying will make this affair clear.

⁸ Ibn Taymiyyah said, "It is strange (amazing) that the Rāfiḍah reject something that 'Uthmān did in [open] view of the Anṣār and the Muhājirīn without them rejecting it from him and which all the Muslims followed him in, and that is the adhān of Jumu'ah." Minhaj al-Sunnah (6/293).

upon the erroneous ijtihād of Shaykh Muqbil (زَحَمَّاْلَكُ) who is excused because the matter was not sufficiently debated and argued at the time (as it has now) such that the basis upon which he held his view was established as being futile. Whilst we can excuse Shaykh Muqbil, we do not have the same situation for Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī and his followers because every last piece of evidence they tried to bring has been refuted.⁹ All the Ḥajūrites are left with is **pure taqlīd** and the statement "*there is khilāf in the issue*" and a fake display of trying to defend the honour of Hishām bin al-Ghāz whose narration (going back to Ibn 'Umar) they depend upon to make the accusation against 'Uthmān

⁹ They also tried to use the view of Imām al-Albānī, which they twisted to try to make it appear in favour of al-Ḥajūrī, when in reality it convicts al-Ḥajūrī. Imām al-Albānī's view is that the action of 'Uthmān was a Sunnah because it was legislated for a valid and intelligible reason, and wherever and whenever that reason is found, this Sunnah of 'Uthmān can be implemented. The Shaykh was only criticising **the incorrect implementation** of the Sunnah of 'Uthmān and not the actual Sunnah itself as a matter of principle. Refer to http://alhajuri.com/?bfbqfsl for elaboration and Shaykh al-Albānī's speech is quoted in this section.

Al-Haj $\bar{u}r\bar{i}$ Does not Distinguish Between the One Who Calls and the Who Does Not Call to Bid'ah and Treats them the Same

Before we look at Ḥajūrī's view on the first adhān of ʿUthmān (حَوَلَيْنَكَمْنَ) it is important to understand it in light of some of the other misguided principles of al-Ḥajūrī. In the cassette, "*al-Qawl al-Jaliyy*" al-Ḥajūrī asks his students:¹¹

The saying of some of them, the division of some people of the Innovators into callers to their innovation and other than the callers to innovation, do the evidences support this division? Is it correct or futile?

And after some discussion with them, he says:

Futile (bāțil), by Allāh, futile, and I swear by it too, that it is futile... every innovating person who has an innovation with him is considered to be a caller to his innovation... There is not to be found an innovator on the face of the earth, any innovator, and it be said about him, "*It is not possible for him to call to his innovation through speech or action*"... Da'wah (calling to Allāh) is both speech

instituted bidʿah, mukhālafah and dalālah into the religion and implying that that anyone who followed him in that (from the Companions and all the Scholars until this day of ours) are innovators or callers to innovation! Hence, it is a pretentious attitude in that they portray Hishām bin al-Ghāz has been wronged and reviled and wish to defend his honour, but all of that is in aid of establishing 'Uthmān instituted bidʿah, mukhālafah and dalālah into the religion!

¹¹ Refer to al-Mukhtaṣar Fī Bayān Baʿḍ Mukhālafāt Yahyā al-Ḥajūrī (p. 31 onwards).

and action, it is not restricted to just speech. And this division is futile, futile... it is empty speech, this division is empty speech. And if you refuse (to accept this) we will make an assault through a research piece and an explanation of that, even if the majority speak with it, by Allāh it is a futile division, yes... it is empty speech, empty speech. Is this division correct?! Yes we have seen some of Ahl al-Sunnah affirming this division. I am against this division due to evidences from the Qur'an, the Sunnah and reality... the issue is as clear as the sun, this is a futile division, this division has an observation (against it). It is found with the majority of the Scholars, it is not from just one of them, but it has an observation, it is not correct, it is not correct...

The meaning of this is that anyone who acts upon an innovation is automatically a caller to that innovation whether he calls to it by speech in addition to his action or not. This means that if al-Ḥajūrī defends this principle in the manner that he does, and we see how he describes the action of 'Uthmān (مَوَالَيْهَا), then it means, by application of this principle of al-Ḥajūrī, 'Uthmān (and refuge is from Allāh) was an open caller to **bid**'ah (innovation) and **ḍalālah** (misguidance) and **mukhālafah** (opposition) and a caller to an **umm al-bid**'ah (mother of innovation), all words used by al-Ḥajūrī in relation to the adhān of 'Uthmān. This is why when you read one of the verdicts of Shaykh Ṣaliḥ al-Fawzān below when he asked about those say that the action of 'Uthmān was bid'ah but we do not say he was a mubtadi⁽¹², the Shaykh rejects this excuse and declares the person who says it as an innovator.

 $^{^{12}}$ This is said by the <code>Haddādī</code> <code>Hājurites</code> such as Musā Millington al-Trinidādī who wrote on the forum run by the <code>Haddādī</code>, Khālid al-Gharbānī, "Saying that

The Claims of Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī Regarding the 'Adhān of 'Uthmān (رَجَوَالَيَّهُمَنَّهُ)

Here are the views of al-Ḥajūrī from his book Ahkām al-Jumuʿah (2nd edition, Dār al-Imām Aḥmad, 1428H/2006CE):¹³

"And if he said, 'It is from the guidance of the Messenger of Allāh (حَتَالَتُعْتَايُوسَاتُ)', then is a most evil liar and he will not find any of the Scholars of the Muslims who ill agree with him upon this disgraceful lie. And if (a person) says as all of the scholars of the Ummah said: It is innovated [(muḥdath) meaning the adhān of Uthmān] as has been unanimously agreed upon by the Scholars of Islām¹⁴, then we say to him: Do you not see in the ḥadīth that the Messenger of Allāh (حَالَيَنَا مَاتَكَ وَمَالَى warns you from the newly-

the adhān of 'Uthmān is a bid'ah does not necessitate declaring Uthmān bin 'Affān an innovator."

¹³ See the Appendix for documentation of these quotes.

¹⁴ Al-Ḥajūrī is implying in these words that the action of 'Uthmān is considered by the Scholars of Islām as **a newly-invented misguidance** and he is claiming a consensus on this matter. Note, that he is not limiting himself to saying that the adhān of 'Uthmān was something new, as that is agreed upon. Rather, he is implying that its being a blameworthy bidʿah and dalālah (misguidance) is a matter of consensus. He is a liar in this claim and any Hajūrite who believes him and speaks with this is a liar too. Notice his use of the word *muhdath* (through which he is intending to say "innovated"). Shaykh Muḥammad bin Hādī said, "And he instituted (sanna) this adhān, we do not say he innovated it (aḥdathahu), we say he instituted it, because he is a person of the Sunnah, and we are ordered to follow it (the Sunnah)..." from an audio recording, transcribed here:

http://www.sahab.net/forums/?showtopic=136806

invented matters, and he says that they are misguidance?" (pp. 249-250). $^{\rm 15}$

"'Uthmān (رَضَوَالِنَّهُ عَنَّهُ), in his action, has opposed an explicit text from the action of the Prophet (صَرَّالَنَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَنَّلَ) in that he did not used to do this adhān." (p. 257).

"And this *bid'ah* was born out of that mother (of innovation), the *bid'ah* of the first adhān." (p. 415).

"As for the one who followed him (meaning 'Uthmān) in that error after the evidence has been established, then he is an innovator (*mubtadi'*), there is no excuse for him in oopposing the Sunnah of the Messenger of Allāh (حَرَّالَتُنْعَلَيْهُ وَلَيْهُ مَلَيْهُ وَلَيْهُ مَلْيُوْسَلُمُ) and his two companions [meaning, Abū Bakr and 'Umar]." (p. 451)

In addition, al-Ḥajūrī speaks with those who oppose his view with derision and ridicule. He says, "Some of the stubborn opposers (muʿānidīn) say..." (p. 250, p. 450), and "As for those who are blind in vision..." (p. 253). The followers of al-Ḥajūrī have not ceased to vehemently defend and support these claims over the years, despite their knowledge that they are opposed by the ijmāʿ of the Companions

¹⁵ After this, al-Ḥajūrī tries to redress the claim that this amounts to making tabdī of 'Uthmān (تعَوَلَيْهُ عَنْهُ) by saying that 'Uthmān made ijtihād and is therefore excuse, and he tries to equate the issue of the adhān with a confirmed mistake of 'Uthmān which is his completion of the prayer to four rak'ahs in Mīnā and for which the Companions opposed him. However, the two issues are not the same because the rejection of the Companions on the issue of completion of the prayer to four rak'ahs is known and established. As for al-Hājūrī's claim of the Companions showing rejection against 'Uthmān for the first adhān instituted by him, then it has no evidence.

and of the Ummah, which indicates that they have chosen misguidance after guidance has been plainly conveyed and made clear.

 $^{^{\}rm 16}$ See the quotes from Shaykh al-Fawzān and Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn further below.

Refutation of al-Ḥajūrī's False Claim of Ijmāʻ (Consensus)

Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī tries to present his lie deceptively in that he uses statements and reports that indicate the adhān of 'Uthmān is newlyintroduced and innovated **in the linguistic sense of the word** - which is historically and factually correct - and mixes them in with that which he relies upon to impute bid'ah in **the legislative sense of the word** to the action of 'Uthmān. Then he claims there is ijmā' (consensus) on the issue, trying to portray that there is a consensus on it being a bid'ah in the legislative, blameworthy sense of the word. This is falsified by the fact that consensus regarding the acceptance and legitimacy of 'Uthmān's adhān is related from many authorities and in contrast Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī does not have a single quotable (alleged) ijmā' that 'Uthmān's action was a blameworthy bid'ah in the religion.

Sa'īd bin al-Muṣayyib (زَحَمَّالَنَهُ) said, "So 'Uthmān (تَحَمَّالَيَهُ) ordered with the adhān of Jumu'ah, the third, and then **the Sunnah became** established upon that, hence a third adhān was not given except on Jumu'ah from the time 'Uthmān legislated it."¹⁷

Ibn al-Mundhir said, "When the people increased (in number) 'Uthmān bin 'Affān (زموَلَيْنَعَانَ) ordered a third call (to prayer) in number, and it is the first (of them) which he began after sun reaching the zenith (doing this) in the presence of the Muhājirīn and the Anṣār and **not a single**

 $^{^{17}}$ Tarīkh al-Madīnah (3/960) of al-Nimrī. The iqāmah was also considered a call to prayer and hence in the speech of some scholars, the adhān of 'Uthmān is referred to as the *third* adhān.

one of them rejected it that we know of, and then the Ummah remained upon this until this day of ours." $^{\!\!\!^{118}}$

Ibn Qudāmah (جَعَدُلَسَّهُ) said, " And the first adhān is legislated at the beginning of the time because 'Uthmān (رَحَوَلَيْنَكَعَنْهُ) legislated it **and the Ummah acted upon it after him** and it is legislated for informing of the time, the second for informing of the khuṭbah (sermon) and the iqāmah for the establishment of the prayer."¹⁹

Ibn Taymiyyah (تحمَّدُلَمَّة) said "And it can be addressed by saying: This adhān, when it was legislated by 'Uthmān (تحمَدُلَمَة) and **the Muslims agreed upon it**, it became a shar'iyy (legislative) adhān."²⁰ And he also said, "And what 'Uthmān did of the (introducing) the first call, **the people agreed upon it after him**, the people of the four madhhabs and others, just as they agreed upon what 'Umar legislated of gathering the people together in Ramaḍān behind a single imām."²¹

Al-Kirmānī in his explanation of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, "And if you said, how was it legislated? I say: Through the ijtihād of 'Uthmān **and the agreement of all of the Companions with him through silent approval and absence of rejection** and it thus became a consensus through silent approval."²²

¹⁸ Al-Awsat min al-Sunan wal-Ijmāʿ (Dār al-Falāh, 1431H, 4/63).

¹⁹ Refer to al-Kāfī of Ibn Qudāmah (1/494).

²⁰ Majmūʿ al-Fatāwā 24/193-194.

²¹ Minhaj al-Sunnah (6/292).

²² Sharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī (6/26), through *Itḥāf Ahl al-Īmān bi Ijmāʿ al-ʿUlamāʾ ʿalā Sunniyyat al-Adhān al-Awwal alladhī Sannahū ʿUthmān*. This consensus is also affirmed by **Mullā ʿAlī al-Qārī**, Badr **al-Dīn al-ʿAynī** (from the Ḥanafī jurists) and likewise **Muḥammad Ṣiḍḍīq Ḥasan Khān**.

Ibn Rajab al-Ḥanbalī (حَمَدُلَعَدَّاتَ) said, "And Ḥarb quotes from Isḥāq bin Rāhūyah that the first adhaā for Jumuʿah is introduced, it was introduced by ʿUthmān. He saw that (the adhān) will not be heard unless he increases the callers to pray so that those furthest away will be informed (of time of Jumuʿah) and hence it became a Sunnah, because it is upon the khulafā' to look into such matters for the (benefit of) the people."²³ And Ibn Rajab said a little later (p. 231), "And his statement in this narration which was related by al-Bukhārī here, 'And so the affair became established upon that', indicates that this was when 'Uthmān ordered it, it continued and it was not abandoned after that. And this shows that 'Alī remained upon it and did not invalidate it, for two of the rightly-guided Caliphs agreed upon its performance, may Allāh be pleased with them all."

Shaykh Abā Buṭayn (حَحَمَانَكَنْ) said, "And what was done by the Companions, the Imāms and Tābiʿīn upon which the label of bidʿah is applied, then that is a linguistic bidʿah, such as in the saying of ʿUmar, "What an excellent bidʿah this is" meaning, the Tarāwīḥ prayer, and likewise **the addition of ʿUthmān and the Companions of the first adhān for Jumuʿah**. Then this does not enter into the saying of the (مَتَالَنَّهُ عَلَيْهُوَسَادًمَّ) "Every innovation is misguidance" because it has a basis in the legislation. And also because it is from what the caliphs instituded and they have a Sunnah that is obligatory to follow due to his saying

²³ In his Fath al-Bārī (8/220-221). Note that Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī clipped this statement in his book Aḥkām al-Jumuʿah and cited it as follows, "He (Ishaq) said: The first adhaan of the day of Jumuʿah is innovated, it is was innovated by 'Uthmān, this athar was mentioned by Ibn Rajab in Fath al-Bārī (8/220-221)." And this is clear dishonesty on behalf of al-Ḥajūrī in citation.

(حَيَّالَتُعْتَذِيوَسَلَّرَ), 'You must follow my Sunnah and the Sunnah of the rightlyguided caliphs after me'."²⁴

Shaykh Ibn Bāz (حَمَّدُاتَكُ) said, "And for this reason, the Companions accepted [the instituted adhān) from him - meaning 'Uthmān - in his time, and the Muslims acted upon it after him... and likewise what 'Umar (حَمَاتُ اللهُ ا

²⁴ Al-Durar al-Saniyyah (8/103).

²⁵ Refer to <u>http://www.binbaz.org.sa/mat/10052</u>.

Further Clarifications from the Scholars of the Sunnah

Shaykh Ibn Bāz, Shaykh 'Abdallāh al-Ghudayān and Shaykh 'Abd al-Razzāq al-ʿĀfīfī (مَعَهُراللَهُ) in whose fatwā there occurs, "It is established from the Messenger (صَرَّالَنَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ) that he said, 'You must follow my Sunnah and the Sunnah of the rightly-guided caliphs, hold on to it with your molars' to the end of the hadīth. And the call (to prayer) on the day of Jumu'ah, the first one used to be when then imām can and sat on the pulpit during the time of the Prophet (صَالَمُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَالَمَ), Abū Bakr and 'Umar (جَعَاتَنَهُوَعَا). Then when it was the caliphate of 'Uthmān and people increased (in number), 'Uthman ordered with what is now the first adhān on the day of Jumu'ah, and it is not a bid'ah due to what has preceded of the (Prophetic) command to follow the Sunnah of the rightly-guided caliphs. And the basis for that is what is related by al-Bukhārī, al-Nasā'i, al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah and Abū Dāwūd and the wording is his, "From Ibn Shihāb: al-Sā'ib bin Yazīd informed me: That the first adhān used to be when the imām sat on the pulpit on the day of Jumu ah during the era of the Prophet (مَتَأَلِّنَةُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَمَ) and Abu Bakr and Umar (رَجَوَاللَّهُ عَنْهُ). Then when it was the caliphate of Uthman and people increased (in number), 'Uthman ordered the third adhan. So it this adhān was made at al-Zūra' (a market in al-Madīnah). Then the affair remain established upon that." And al-Qastalānī commented upon this hadīth in his explanation of al-Bukhārī, saying: That the call (to prayer) that 'Uthman added was at the entrance of the time [at the zawal], and he called it "the third" on account of it being additional to the adhan given when the imām arrives and the iqāmah given for the prayer itself, and the igamah is also mostly labelled as an "adhan" on account of them both being a means of notification. And this (third) adhan was

when the Muslims increased in number, so he ('Uthmān) added it out of his ijtihād. And the agreement of all of the Companions with him through their silence and absence of rejection, made it become a silently-approved consensus. And with Allaah lies success, and may the prayers and salutations be upon our Prophet Muhammad, his family and companions.¹¹²⁶

In the speech of Ibn Taymiyyah (زَحَهُوْاللَهُ) is a rejection of the reasoning of al-Hajūrī and his likes when he said as occurss in Majmū' al-Fatāwā (21/319), "And he (صَيَّالَيْتَمُعَلَيْهِوَسَلَّمَ) said in the hadīth which the authors of the Sunan have related, and authenticated by al-Tirmidhī and others, 'You must follow my Sunnah and the Sunnah of the rightly-guided caliphs after me, hold fast to it and bite onto it with your molars, and beware of the newly-invented affairs, for every innovation is misguidance.' So whatever the rightly-guided caliphs instituted is not a legislatively (defined) innovation that is prohibited against, even if it is described as 'innovation' linguistically, due to it having been initiated (without precedence). Just as 'Umar said, 'What an excellent innovation this is'." And he (جَهُوْاللَة) said elsewhere (32/347), "For when others oppose the rightly-guided caliphs (in a matter), it is their saying that is superior because the Prophet (مَعَالَلَتَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَمَ said, 'You must follow my Sunnah and the Sunnah of the rightly-guided caliphs after me. Hold fast to it and bite onto it with the molars. And beware of the newly-invented matters, for every innovation is misquidance'."

²⁶ Fatāwā al-Lajnah al-Dā'imah (8/198).

Imām al-Albānī's View Does not Justify al-Ḥajūrī's Revilement of 'Uthmān (رَجَوَالِنَّهُعَنْهُ)

As for Shaykh al-Albānī (زَجَهَهُرَلْنَةُ) then he has a book titled, "al-Ajwibah al-Nāfiʿah ʿan Asʾilah Laināh Masjid al-Jāmiʿah" (Beneficial Questions To the Questions of the Committee of the Grand Mosque) in which he explains that 'Uthmān's addition of the adhān was for an intelligible reason and wherever this reason is found, the adhan of 'Uthman is legislated. The Shaykh does not deny that this adhan is from the Sunnah, but he criticized those who do not implement it properly in modern times. He also answered the question about where to perform this adhan, should the need arise and he stated, "Yes, we do not see anything to prevent this (additional) adhan of 'Uthman (being performed) when it is from the external entrance of the barracks because it causes the passers-by on the path to hear and informs them that in the barracks there is a masjid in which prayer is established, so they go to it and pray within it in the same way that those who are resident in the nearby houses on the path, however it is desirable that only a short time should separate between the two adhans, because the Sunnah is to begin the khutbah straight after the zawāl (noon) after the adhān."²⁷

This clearly shows that in its foundation, Imām al-Albānī does not consider this adhān to be a blameworthy bidʿah - unlike Ibn Muṭahhir al-Rāfidī and Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī.²⁸

 $^{^{27}}$ Refer to al-Ajwibah al-Nāfiʿah ʿan Asʾilah Lajnāh Masjid al-Jāmiʿah (p. 25) and for more details refer also to http://alhajuri.com/?bfbqfsl.

²⁸ Many of the Hajūrites protest at this junction and say that Shaykh Muqbil said it is a bid ah. This only proves that they are Muqallidah (blind-followers)

The Various Deceptions of the Ḥajūrites Regarding the Narration of Ibn 'Umar (رَجَوَلَلِيَّهُ عَنْهُ)

The Hajūrites depend largely upon a narration from Ibn 'Umar (زموَلَيْنَعَنَّهُ) in which he describes *an* adhān (without its context being made clear) to be a *bidʿah*. Ibn Abī Shaybah relates in his Muṣannaf: Shabābah narrated to us from Hishām bin al-Ghāz from Nāfiʿ from Ibn 'Umar who said, "The first adhān on the day of Jumuʿah is a bidʿah." ²⁹ They have fought tooth and nail over this narration all in order to ascribe bidʿah to the action of 'Uthmān (مَوَالَيْهَاتُ). Here are some of their major deceptions regarding this narration:³⁰

 Assuming it is authentic, Ibn 'Umar (تَعَالَيْهُ عَنْهُ) only spoke of the "first adhān" without specifying it as being the one instituted by 'Uthmān (تَعَالَيْهُ عَنْهُ). He may have been speaking about another adhān. Especially when he himself did not reject the adhān of 'Uthmān during his khilāfah or during the khilāfah of 'Alī (تَعَالَيْهُ عَنْهُ) and nor after it. And in the context of the narration as it has come, Ibn 'Umar was responding to a question of a Syrian man, and it could be in relation to a different adhān.³¹

and hold onto a mistaken saying of Scholar who was not made aware of his mistake by invalidation of his evidences.

²⁹ Shaykh Rabī has written extensively to refute the inflated claims of some of the students of al-Ḥajūrī, and has established that this narration is shādh (obscure), munkar (rejected) because it clashes with what is well-known and established, as well as numerous other considerations that relate to the narrator Hishām bin al-Ghāz.

³⁰ Refer to <u>http://www.sahab.net/forums/?showtopic=137362</u>.

³¹ See further below for a discussion of the adhān of Hishām bin 'Abd al-Malik and quote from Imām al-Shāṭibī.

- In order to make this narration stronger than what it is, they 2. claim that it is related in many other sources when it is related only by Ibn Abī Shaybah in his Muşannaf and the ascription of this report to the book of Wakī by Ibn Rajab and al-Jassās. It is not related in the prominent sources. Contrast this to the rejection by the Companions of 'Uthmān praying four rak'ahs in Mīnā, which is well established and reported. Consider the fact that the adhān of Jumu'ah is repeated every week, openly in front all of the people. And had the Companions rejected it, it would have been reported strongly and abundantly. Further, this practice continued, and so we should have seen consistent reports condemning this practice. But we do not find anything. Hence, in order to make it appear that the narration is widely reported, the Hajūrites lie with respect to the takhrīj (sourcereferencing) of this report.
- 3. Following on from the above, their referencing this narration to those who did not relate it at all, such as Ibn Abī Ḥātim, the Muṣannaf of 'Abd al-Razzāq and al-Sunan of al-Dārimī. All of this is to make the narration appear more widely accepted and reported than it actually is.
- 4. Their claim that Hishām bin al-Ghāz is not alone in narrating this, and then they mention the names of other narrators. However in the reports of these narrators there is no mention at all of 'Uthmān's adhān being a bid'ah.³² Rather, they mix between three different narrations:

 $^{^{32}}$ This is what al-Ḥajūrī has done in his book Aḥkām al-Jumuʿah (2nd edition, pp. 410-422) in which he brings 29 statements of scholars which he alleges are

- 5. The first of them: Is the statement of Ibn 'Umar, "Every innovation is misguidance even if the people see it is as something good." This is reported through Hishām bin al-Ghāz from Nāfiʿ from Ibn 'Umar, and it is related by al-Marwazī (in al-Sunnah), Ibn Baṭṭah (in al-Ibānah al-Kubrā), al-Bayhaqī (in al-Madkhal), al-Lālikāī (Sharh Uṣūl al-Iʿitqād), Abu Shāmah (in al-Bāʾith) and al-Harawī (in Dhamm al-Kalām). So the deception of the Hajūrites is to mix this narration with the other one, and then claim that all of these six sources establish the innovated nature of the adhān of 'Uthmān. Despite the fact that there is no mention of this adhān in any of these reports and this statement is related on its own, independently.
- 6. The second of them: The narration under question, from Ibn 'Umar through Hishām bin al-Ghāz that the adhān of Jumu'ah is a bid'ah, as related by Ibn Abī Shaybah. And here, it is only Hishām bin al-Ghāz who relates this from Nafi'. There is no authentic report from any of the Salaf that described the adhān of 'Uthmān specifically as being a bid'ah, and even this report relied upon by the Ḥajūrites, does not describe 'Uthmān's adhān specifically. Rather, it is merely a reference to a "first

in reference to the adhān of 'Uthmān being newly-introduced, or a bid'ah in the religion, or not from the Sunnah, or not worthy of being acted upon. And when you analyze them one by one, in their wording (or chain or narration where that applies), you find that these quotes do not actually amount to what al-Ḥajūrī is claiming (which is to establish the first adhān as a blameworthy innovation in the religion without qualification or restriction). At the end of it all, they are left only with the narration of Ibn 'Umar, which is why they have fought tooth and nail to establish its authenticity, just so they can prove that 'Uthmān institute a bid'ah in the religion that was followed by the ummah after him.s

> adhān" on Jumuʿah and this can have an explainable context. If we assume this report to be correct and authentic, it can have two explanations. **The first**, that the word bidʿah here is being used in its linguistic sense and not the legislative sense. Hence, it is not a bidʿah rejected by the Sharīʿah, but only a factual description of an action that was not done before, and hence is introduced, new. This is purely a linguistic usage.³³ Or **second**, that Ibn ʿUmar is not actually speaking about the adhān of ʿUthmān but about affairs that happened later in relation to the adhān, matters innovated by the people related to the manner of performance of the adhān or what is like that. And that this report (of Ibn ʿUmar) was used wrongly to find fault with ʿUthmān and the Companions in general, in relation to ʿUthmān's institution of the adhān, as has been done by the Rāfiḍah.

7. The third of them: A report from Wakī^c from Hishām bin al-Ghāz who said, "I asked Nāfi^c about the first adhān of Jumu'ah and he said, 'Ibn 'Umar said: It is an innovation, and every innovation is misguidance, even if the people see it as something good'." This report has not been related by anyone in any of the published books but it was referenced by Ibn Rajab and also al-Jaṣṣās to a book of Wakī^c. Here it is possible that it was in the book of Wakī^c or that Ibn Rajab and al-Jaṣṣās simply joined to two separate narrations together. Both Ibn Abi Shaybah and Muḥammad bin Naṣr (al-Marwazī) reported from Wakī^c and they never mentioned this wording.

³³ And this is how the Scholars of the Sunnah who venerate the Companions have explained this narration, on the assumption that it is authentic.

8. What has preceded indicates the problem with this report. However, if we accept that it is authentic, there is an explanation for it in that it is referring to the adhān introduced by Hishām bin 'Abd al-Malik that was performed in front of the īmām or the minbār (instead of outside). **Imām al-Albānī** quotes from Imām al-Shātibī in explanation of this, "Ibn Rushd said: The adhān performed right in front of the imām on Jumu'ah is disliked because it is innovated (muhdath) and the first to introduce it was Hishām bin 'Abd al-Malik. He moved the adhān that used to be made from al-Zūrā' to al-Musharrafah and the adhān made from al-Musharrafah to in front of the imām (inside the mosque).³⁴ He was then followed in that by those caliphs who came after him to this day of ours. He said: And this is bid ah, [but] that which was done by the Messenger (صَبَأَلِنَةُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّمَ) and the rightly-guided Caliphs after him is the Sunnah. And Ibn al-Habīb mentioned that the adhān given when the *īmām* ascended the pulpit remained during the time of 'Uthmān (يَعَوَلْلَهُ عَنْدُ), in agreement with what has been reported by the specialists of authentic transmission and 'Uthman did not add to what was before him except the adhān from al-Zūrā'. Thus, Hishām bin 'Abd al-Malik's transfer of the legislated adhān from the minaret to in front of the imām (in the mosque) is a bid^cah (innovation) in that (already) legislated matter." 35

 $^{^{34}}$ Thus, the alleged statement of Ibn 'Umar, rather than referring to the adhān instituted by 'Uthman and called from al-Zūrā', is referring to the original adhān (that was always done outside the mosque) which was transferred to inside the mosque and performed in front of the imām.

³⁵ Al-Ajwibah al-Nāfiʿah ʿan Asʾilah Lajnāh Masjid al-Jāmiʿah (p. 28 onwards).

- 9. From what has preceded, the error of the Hajūrites is evident and plain and they wrongly take the agreement of the scholars that the adhān of 'Uthmān was not done previously by the Prophet (حَوَاللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَ اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعَلَى الْعَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى الْ الْعَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّ الْعَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى الَعُ عَلَى ا
- 10. When the attachment of the Hajūrites to this dubious narration is invalidated, their deliberate abandonment of a clearly narrated ijmāʿ (consensus) affirming the legislated nature of the adhān of ʿUthmān (مَوَالَيَهُمَة) is known, their opposition to all the major Salafī scholars of today who have spoken on this issue such as Imām Ibn Bāz, Imām al-Albānī, Imām Ibn al-ʿUthaymīn, Shaykh Rabīʿ bin Hādī, Shaykh Ṣāliḥ al-Fawzān and others is known, and their taqlīd of Sunnī scholars whose error has become clear is no longer permitted for them, and they

 $^{^{36}}$ From the great signs of their misguidance and desires is that when Shaykh Rabī[°] declared the narration to be shādh and munkar, they began writing defences of Hishām bin al-Ghāz, the Tābi[°]ī, claiming that he has been reviled and belittled - and all of this is in order to justify their claim that 'Uthmān instituted bid[°]ah, dalālah and mukhālafah into the religion!

> then persist in ascribing bid[°]ah to the action of [°]Uthmān, it is clearly that they are only left with the disgraceful scenario of wilfully choosing to make taqlīd of Ibn Muṭahhir al-Rāfiḍī³⁷ and the Rāfiḍah (the first to make this claim against [°]Uthmān as a means of attacking the Companions in general)! From Allāh is aid sought, how desire blinds the vision of the heart!

 $^{^{\}rm 37}$ He was the first to make this claim and Ibn Taymiyyah refuted him in Minhāj al-Sunnah.

Shaykh Rabīʿ bin Hādī on the Report of Ibn ʿUmar and the Narrator Hishām bin al-Ghāz

In this section we will cite from Shaykh Rabī's paper titled **al-Dhabb** 'an **al-Khalīfah al-Rāshid, 'Uthmān** (*Defence of the Third Caliph, 'Uthmān*), the Shaykh wrote:

The statements regarding the first adhān (of Jumuʿah), that it is an innovation, are all centered around Hishām bin al-Ghāz and he has not been described with hifdh (memorization) and itqān (precision). Even though some of the people of ḥadīth have labelled him as thiqah (trustworthy), and they are Yaḥyā bin Maʿīn, Duhaym and Muḥammad binʿAbdullāh bin ʿAmmār, what is correct is that he is "ṣālih" as has been said by Imām Aḥmad, and Ibn Maʿīn also has another saying about him, wherein he said, "There is no harm in him (laa baʾs bihi)." And through this al-Dhahabī said about him, "He is ṣadūq (truthful)". And the saying of al-Ḥāfidh Ibn Ḥajar about him, "He is thiqah (trustworthy)" requires a review. And it is not said regarding his ḥadīth (report) that it is ṣahīh and nor ḥasan for the likes of this (narrator).

That which is apparent to me is that his (Hishām's) report from Nāfiʿ is considered rejected (munkar), because he is unique with respect to it amongst the multitude of of companions of Nāfiʿ, and amongst them are the best of the people of his city, al-Madīnah al-Nabawiyyah. So amongst the narrators from him (Nāfiʿ) are:

His sons, Abū 'Umar, 'Umar and 'Abdullāh. 'Abdullāh bin Dīnār, Sālih bin Kaysān, ʿAbd Rabbihī and Yahyā - the two sons of Saʿīd al-Ansārī. And Yūnus bin 'Ubayd, Yazīd bin Abī Habīb, Abū Ishāq al-Subay'ī, al-Zuhrī, Mūsā bin 'Uqbah, Maymūn bin Mahrān, Ibn Ajlān, Ayūb al-Sakhtiyānī, Jarīr bin Hāzim, al-Hakam bin 'Utaybah, Sa'd bin Ibrāhīm, 'Abdullāh bin Sa'īd bin Abī Hind, 'Ubaydallāh bin 'Umar al-Umrī and his brother 'Abdullāh. And Ibn Jurayi, al-Awzā'ī, Ibn Ishāq, 'Abdul-Karīm al-Jazarī, 'Atā' al-Khurasānī, Layth bin Abī Salīm, Muhammad bin Sawgah, Hishām bin Sa'd, Matar al-Warrāq, Mālik bin Anas, Ismā'īl bin Umayyah, Usāmah bin Zayd al-Laythī, Ism"īl bin Ibrāhīm bin 'Uqbah, Ayūb bin Mūsā al-Qurashī, Bukayr bin 'Abdullāh bin Ashaji, Ya'lā bin Hakīm, Juwayriyah bin Asmā', Abu Sakhr Hamīd bin Ziyād, Handhalah bin Abī Sufyān, Ragabah bin Musaggalah, Saʿīd bin Hilāl, Şakhr bin Juwayriyah, al-Dahhaak bin 'Uthmān, 'Abd al-ʿAzīz bin ʿUmar bin ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz, ʿUbaydallāh bin Abī Jaʿfar, ʿUmar bin Zayd bin 'Abdullāh bin 'Umar, 'Īsā bin Hafş bin 'Āşim bin 'Umar bin al-Khattāb, Yūnus bin Yazīd, Fulayh bin Sulaymān, Kathīr bin Farqad, al-Walīd bin Kathīr, Shu'ayb bin Abī Hamzah, al-Layth bin Sa'd and a great portion (of others)." Tahdhīdb al-Tahdhīb (10/143)

Hence, Hishām bin al-Ghāz being singled out (in relating this) from Nāfiʿ amongst this great number, and from them are his own sons (those of Nāfiʿ), and amongst them are the best of the people of Madīnah, yet this strange text has not been narrated from them. And this alongside (the fact) that the narrator (of this), Hishām bin al-Ghāz, from him (Ibn ʿUmar), is not from the people of Madīnah. Rather, he is a stranger, he is originally from Damascus, then he was a resident of Baghdād, and he was

appointed over the Bayt al-Māl for Abū Jaʿfar al-Manṣūr. And the likes of this ḥadīth of his - whilst his condition is like this - is considered to be munkar (rejected).

And Muslim said in the introduction to his book (p. 7), "Because the judgement of the people of knowledge and that which we know from their madhhab in accepting that in which a narrator (muhaddith) is unique in narrating of hadīth is that he should have shared in the thigaat (trustworthy) from the people of knowledge and memorization in some of what they narrated and he should have used skill and care in being in agreement with them in (what he shared in narrating from them). So when that is found (to be present with him), and then after that he adds something which is not (found) with his companions, then his addition can be accepted. And as for the one whom you see focused on the likes of al-Zuhrī in his loftiness and abundance of his companions who are huffādh mutqinīn (precise memorizers) of his hadīth and the hadīth of others, or the likes of Hishām bin 'Urwah, and the hadīth of them both are well-spread, shared, their companions have quoted their hadīths from them upon agreement from them in most of it. So then (when) he narrates from them both or from one of them a number of hadīths that are not known by any of the associates of them both, and he is not one who has shared with them (the associates) in (narrating) the authentic of what is with them, then it is not permissible to accept the hadīths of this type amongst the people. And Allāh knows best."

Reflect upon the statement of Imām Muslim, "that he should have shared in the thiqāt (trustworthy) from the people of

knowledge and memorization in some of what they narrated and he should have used skill and care in being in agreement with them in (what he shared in narrating from them)... [until] ... then it is not permissible to accept the hadīths of this type amongst the people" and this is not found with Hishām bin al-Ghāz, for he is scarce in narration, and he has not shared with the associates of Nāfiʿ in some of what they narrated of authentic hadīths, and he did not use skill and care in being in agreement with them. And for this reason, the two Imāms, al-Bukhārī and Muslim did not narrate from him any connected hadīth from Nāfiʿ, save that al-Bukharī brought a single ḥadīth from him in muʿallaq form in the mutābaʿāt, refer to ḥadīth 1742.

And none of the Imāms from amongst all of them related this report except Ibn $Ab\bar{i}$ Shaybah, and he did not adhere to authenticity in what he related, which is amongst what emphasizes the rejection of this report ascribed to Ibn 'Umar.

Al-Ḥāfidh Ibn al-Rajab said, commenting upon the statement of Imām Muslim, "So he stated clearly that when the thiqah (trustworthy) takes care in being in agreement with the thiqāt in their ḥadīth, then he is unique amongst them with respect to a ḥadīth, then what he was unique (in reporting) and relating from the people of knowledge is accepted from him." See "Sharḥ Ilal al-Tirmidhi" (1/456-457). And Hishām bin al-Ghāz is from this type whose ḥadīths it is not permissible to accept when they are alone in relating from the likes of Nāfi[°] and al-Zuhrī in what no one from the trustworthy ones of their associates have shared with him (in relating from them).

Then, if we accept that this is established from Abdullah bin Umar (radiyallaahu anhumaa) then it is taken to mean that his intent by "bid'ah" is the linguistic (usage) of innovation not the legislated (shar'iyy) usage of innovation, as is said by some of the people of knowledge and just as Umar applied the word "bid'ah" upon the Tarawih prayer.

Indeed 'Abdullah bin 'Umar (رَعَوَلْنَدُعْنَاهُمُ) is from those who revere the rightly-guided Caliph 'Uthmān (رَضِوَاللَهُ عَنْهُ) and from those who defend him. So what Hishām bin al-Ghāz has ascribed to him is what would bring revilement upon 'Uthmān, the rightly-guided Caliph (رَجَوَاللَّهُعَنَّة). Imām al-Bukhārī said in "Chapter on the Virtues of 'Uthmān" (hadīths 3698 and 3699): Muhammad bin Hātim bin Bazīgh narrated to us: Shādhān narrated to us: 'Abd al-'Aziz bin Abī Salamah al-Mājishūn narrated to us from 'Ubaydallāh from Nāfi' from Ibn 'Umar (رَضِوَاللَّهُعَنَّا): "During the lifetime of the Prophet we considered Abū Bakr as peerless and then 'Umar and then 'Uthmān (coming next to him in superiority) and thereafter we did not used to differentiate between the companions of the Prophet." And [the second hadīth], Musa bin Ismāʿīl narrated to us: Abū 'Awānah narrated to us: 'Uthmān, and he is Ibn Mawhab, narrated to us, saying: An Egyptian who came and performed the Hajj to the Ka'bah saw some people sitting. He enquired, "Who are these people?" Somebody said, "They are the tribe of Quraysh." He said, "Who is the old man sitting amongst them?" The people replied, "He is 'Abdullāh bin 'Umar." He said, "O Ibn Umar! I want to ask you about something, please tell me about it. Do you know that 'Uthmān fled away on the day (of the battle) of Uhud?" Ibn 'Umar said, "Yes." The (Egyptian) man said, "Do you know that 'Uthmān was absent on the day (of the battle) of

Badr and did not join it?" Ibn 'Umar said, "Yes." The man said, "Do you know that he failed to attend the pledge of Ridwan and did not witness it (meaning, the Hudaibiyah pledge of allegiance)?" Ibn 'Umar said, "Yes." The man said, "Allāhu Akbar!" Ibn Umar said, "Let me explain to you (all these three things). As for his flight on the day of Uhud, I testify that Allāh has excused him and forgiven him. And as for his absence from the battle of Badr, it was due to the fact that the daughter of Allāh's Messenger was his wife and she was sick then. Allāh's Messenger said to him, "You will receive the same reward and share (of the booty) as anyone of those who participated in the battle of Badr (if you stay with her)." As for his absence from the Ridwan pledge of allegiance, had there been any person in Mecca more respectable than Uthman (to be sent as a representative). Allah's Messenger would have sent him instead of him. No doubt, Allah's Messenger had sent him, and the incident of the Ar-Ridwan pledge of Allegiance happened after 'Uthmān had gone to Makkah. Allāh's Messenger held out his right hand saying, "This is 'Uthmān's hand." He stroke his (other) hand with it saving, "This (pledge of allegiance) is on behalf of 'Uthmān." Then Ibn 'Umar said to the man, "Bear (these) excuses in mind with you."

I [Rabī] say: Look at this noble Companion, how he defends against 'Uthmān (مَوَالَيْهَا) and then mentions his virtues. And look at this envious (hateful) man against 'Uthmān (مَوَالَيْهَا), for alongside his envious hate he did not ask Ibn 'Umar about the adhān of 'Uthmān, and this would be the most apparent and well known of affairs he would have asked about. So this shows that

this adhān (of 'Uthmān) was one of the accepted affairs with him and with with the ummah (at large).

Imām al-Bukhārī said (تحمَدُلْسَدُ) in his Ṣaḥīḥ (3704): Muḥammad bin Rāfiʿ narrated to us: Ḥusayn narrated to us from Zāʿidah from Abī Ḥusayn from Saʿd bin ʿUbaydah who said: "A man came to Ibn ʿUmar and asked about ʿUthmān and Ibn ʿUmar mentioned his good deeds and said to the questioner. "Perhaps these facts annoy you?" The other said, "Yes." Ibn ʿUmar said, "May Allāh stick your nose in the dust!' Then the man asked him about ʿAlī. Ibn ʿUmar mentioned his good deeds and said, "It is all true, and that is his house in the midst of the houses of the Prophet. Perhaps these facts have hurt you?" The questioner said, "Yes." Ibn ʿUmar said, "May Allah stick your nose in the dust! Go away and do whatever you can against me."

So it is clear that you (ʿAlī bin Rashīd al-ʿIfrī, student of Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī) and your likes from those who follow up ʿUthmān and his likes from the Noble Companions are from the sort of these two men (in the ḥadīths above) and upon their vile methodology of investigating into what degrades their status and spreading it (amongst the people). [Your methodology is] not (investigating) their virtues and distinguishing lofty qualities for which the Lord of the Worlds and His truthful and trusted Messenger praised them for. Look at Ibn ʿUmar how he speaks enthusiastically about the virtues of ʿUthmān and ʿAlī (أَكَوَالَكُوَالَةُ (Juhan Salaps the faces of their enemies. This is the correct methodology that the Righteous Salaf traversed upon - may Allāh be pleased with them - this is the methodology whose opposer they revile.

This is a small portion of the writing of Shaykh Rabī⁻ bin Hādī from his article. After this, the followers of al-Ḥajūrī began to bring further intricate doubts which Shaykh Rabī⁻ subsequently responded to. These doubts were in relation to the narration Hishām bin al-Ghāz. Refer to his article **Dirāsāt Marwiyāt Hishām bin al-Ghāz** in which the Shaykh refutes the doubts of another follower of al-Ḥajūrī called Yūsuf al-⁻Inābī.

Verdicts of the Scholars that Convict al-Ḥajūrī and His Followers as Misguided Innovators Who Desire to Make Tabdī of 'Uthmān and Revile the Companions

These are the verdicts of **Imām Ibn Bāz, Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn** and **Shaykh al-Fawzān** on the subject of the first adhān of 'Uthmān (عَوَلَنَهُمَا) and the intent here is to show that the Ḥajāwirah are people of desires and deception when they spread doubts against Shaykh Rabī' through the statements of Shaykh al-Fawzān [which are being engineered and elicited by the latest wave of Ḥaddādīs (some of whom are sympathizers to and have connections to the Takfīrī Khārijites of ISIS)].

Imām Ibn Bāz (تَحَدُّاللَّهُ) was asked, "We have a difference regarding the first adhān on the day of Jumu'ah. Amongst them are those who say that it is not from the Sunnah because it is not related from the Prophet (حَرَاللَهُ عَلَى وَمَاللَهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَى الْحَالَى اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعُنْ اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعُلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعُلَى الْعَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعُلَى عَلَى اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعُلَى عَلَى الْعَلَى عَلَى الْعَلَى الْعَالَى عَلَى الْعَلَى الْعَلَى الْعَلَى الْعَا

guided caliphs. And the Prophet (مَتَالَنَّهُ عَلَيْهُوَسَلَمَ) advised with that (the Sunnah of the rightly-guided caliphs)."³⁸

Shaykh Sālih al-Fawzān was asked, "Esteemed Shaykh, may Allāh grant you success, in the first adhan for the Jumu'ah prayer, is it repeated alongside the muʿadhdhin?" The Shaykh answered, "Yes, it is an adhān, it is a legislative adhan because it is the from the Sunnah of the Rightly-Guided Caliphs, it was commanded by 'Uthmān (رَضِوَاللَهُ عَنْدُ), the third caliph. And it was in the presence of 'Alī bin Abī Tālib from the rightly-guided caliphs, and in the presence of the Muhājirīn and Ansār and no one rejected that from him. Except what is reported from Ibn 'Umar as is mentioned by Ibn Abī Shaybah in his Muṣannaf³⁹, that he (Ibn 'Umar) says it is a bid'ah and Ibn Rajab (رَجْعَدُالَنَدُ), when he cited the speech of Ibn 'Umar, said that he intends the good bid'ah (the good innovation) [with its linguistic meaning], he does not intend the evil bid'ah [with its legislative meaning], similar to what his father ('Umar) said regarding the tarawih prayer, 'What an excellent bid'ah this is', meaning an innovation linguistically and not a innovation legislatively (speaking). Yes."40

³⁸ Fatāwā Nur ʿala al-Darb (13/207). And the Shaykh actually has numerous fatāwā on this matter.

³⁹ Refer to Shaykh Rabī's extensive refutations against the Ḥajūrites in relation to this narration which is shādh and munkar (rejected) and which the Ḥajūrites have been fighting desperately to affirm and prove only so that they can exonerate their Ḥaddādī leader in his accusation of bidʿah against ʿUthmān (زيوَلَلْلَمَعْنَا).

⁴⁰ See http://alhajuri.com/dld.cfm?a=vazbjh for audio. From this speech of Shaykh al-Fawzān, you can see that those scholars who come across this narration (which is established as being shādh and munkar in any case), because they hold the Companions in respect, they interpret this remark ascribed to Ibn 'Umar upon the same light as the remark made by his father,

Shaykh Ṣāliḥ al-Fawzān was asked, "Esteemed Shaykh, one of the duʿāt (callers) says, "We do not declare 'Uthmān (حَوَالَيْهَانَهُ) an innovator - but we say that the first adhān on the day of Jumuʿah is an innovation." He (the questioner) says, what is the ruling of this statement of his?" His response was, "This itself is bidʿah (innovation), the man, this itself is bidʿah [to hold this position], he is an innovator. It is obligatory to withhold his tongue from the likes of this speech. 'Uthmān is a rightly-guided caliph, and the Messenger (حَرَالَتَهُ اللهُ عَالَى اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ عالى اللهُ عالى اللهُ عالى اللهُ اللهُ

In his lesson on the day of Sunday, 14/05/1435H, **Shaykh Ṣalih al-Fawzān** was asked, "May Allaah be benevolent to you, this questioner says: Is the first adhān of the day of Jumu'ah considered an innovation?" The Shaykh answered, "Our (previous) speech [on this subject] has become of no value." Then the questioner says, "May Allāh be benevolent to you esteemed Shaykh, the questioner says: Some people say that the reason for which 'Uthmān ordered the first adhān is no longer present." The Shaykh said, "It has not ended, your desire is to make tabdī of 'Uthmān. This is not a permissible affair. Is this from

^{&#}x27;Umar bin al-Khaṭṭāb (حَوَالَيَعَنَّة). This is unlike the Rāfiḍah such as Ibn al-Muṭahhir (refuted by Ibn Taymiyyah in Minhāj al-Sunnah) and those upon whom the ḥujjah is now established, such as the Hajāwirah, who persist in imputing bidʿah to ʿUthmān (حَوَالَيَعَنَّة) after the Scholars demolished their bidʿah and ḍalālah.

⁴¹ See <u>http://alhajuri.com/dld.cfm?a=sdduoa</u> for audio.

your eagerness for the Sunnah? The action of 'Uthmān is from the Sunnah by testimony of the Messenger (مَكَالَنَّهُ عَلَيْهُومَنَاً) "You must follow my Sunnah and the Sunnah of the rightly-guided Caliphs after me." Respect the Companions! Especially the rightly-guided Caliphs, respect them! For they are the most superior of the Ummah, do not speak about them!⁴²

What Shaykh al-Fawzān has mentioned here is very significant because if you look at the corrupt uṣūl of al-Ḥajūrī, such as not distinguishing between the one who does not call to his bidʿah verbally and the one who does, and that the one who implements any practical bidʿah (in worship) is automatically a caller to it by his action and renders him a mubtadi' (innovator), then it leaves no room except to say - if al-Ḥajūrī is consistent and truthful in his corrupt uṣūl - that 'Uthmān was a caller to bidʿah and dalālah. This is why the Scholars do not accept the excuse that "'Uthman made ijtihād, his action was a bidʿah but we do not declare him an innovator." This is deception and playing games, because the corrupt uṣūl you are propounding does not all you to make these excuses.

In the speech of Shaykh al-Albānī (زَحَمُّالَنَّهُ) in Kitāb al-Janā'iz, there is a refutation and falsification of this excuse used to justify the accusation against 'Uthmān (زَحَوَالَنَهُ اللَّهُ اللَّ

⁴² See <u>http://alhajuri.com/dld.cfm?a=znxoar</u> for audio.

from a Companion, and that action is repeated by him without any rejection (from others)." $^{\!\!\!^{\rm H43}}$

In this statement, where the Shaykh is defining and explaining the innovation which is declared to be misguidance legislatively. He explains firstly that ijtihād does not prevent something from being declared an innovation and misguidance. And secondly that whatever cannot be legislated without a text from the Book and the Sunnah is an innovation, unless it came from a Companion, it was repeated by him and the Companions never showed any rejection to it. And this clearly applies to the action of 'Uthmān (خَطَلَقَاتُ which was done openly, on a weekly basis, amongst thousands of Companions.

And from the numerous statements of **Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn** on this subject, "And the Jumu'ah (prayer) has a first adhān which is from the Sunnah of 'Uthmān (تَعَالَنَهُ), and he is one of the rightly-guided Caliphs whose Sunnah we have been commanded to follow. Some of those pretending to be clever who claim that they are Salafis, Sunnis say: We do not accept the first adhān of Jumu'ah, it is a bid'ah, it was not present in the time of the Prophet (مَتَالَنَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ). This statement of theirs is a revilement upon the Prophet (مَتَالَنَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ a revilement upon the Prophet (مَتَالَنَّهُ يَدُوسَتُرُ), a revilement upon the rightly-guided Caliphs and a revilement upon the Companions. And these paupers reached this limit without knowing. As for it being a revilement upon the Messenger (مَتَالَنَّهُ أَنْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ) said, "You must follow my Sunnah and the Sunnah of the rightly-guided Caliphs after me." And by consensus of the Muslims, 'Uthmān (مَتَوَالَنَدُعَاتُوَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ مَالَهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ (مَتَالَمُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ) is from the the rightly-guided Caliphs, then it is a revilement upon the rightly-guided caliphs, then it is a revilement upon the rightly-guided caliphs after me." And by consensus of the Muslims, 'Uthmān (مَتَوَالَنَهُ أَنَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَيْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَيْهُ مَالَهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ مَالَهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَالْعُلَيْهُ وَالْعُلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَالْعُلَيْهُ وَالْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَالْهُ مَالَهُ مَالْهُ وَالْعُلَيْهُ مَالْعُلْعُلَيْهُ وَالْعُلْعُلَيْهُ وَالْعُلْعُلْعُلُيْهُ وَال

⁴³ Kitāb al-Janā'iz (p. 305).

upon 'Uthmān (يَوَوَاللَّهُ عَنْدُ) and he is from them. And whoever reviles one of them, reviles all of them, just like the one who rejects a single Messenger, he has rejected of all of the Messengers. And as for it being a revilement of the Companions, then it is beacuse the Companions did not show rejection against 'Uthmān (يَخِاللَهُ عَنْهُ) alongside the fact that if he had erred (in this matter), they would have rejected (this error) just as they showed rejected when he completed (the prayer to four rak'ahs) whilst in Mina during Hajj. However, regarding the first adhān of Jumu'ah, they did not show rejection against him. So are these opposers who are pretending to be clever more knowledgeable of the Sharī'ah and its objectives than the Companions?! The Messenger of Allāh (صَالَاتَكَ عَلَيْهُ وَسَالَمَ) spoke the truth when he said, "The latter part of this uymmah will curse its first part" and refuge is with Allah, and he reviles them. So the first adhān is a legislated adhān by indication of the Prophet (صَالَمَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَالَمَ) and the Sunnah of the chief of the believers 'Uthmān (رَجَاللَهُعَنْهُ) and by consensus of the Companions, with an ijmā' sukūtī (silent consensus), and no one has any excuse, may Allāh cut the tongue of the one who criticizes the rightly-guided Caliphs of this ummah and the Companions."44

Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn also said, "And he has strayed who said that it is a bid'ah, and he has declared the Companions (حَوَلَيْنَا عَنْهُ) as fools and has declared the rightly-guided Caliph ('Uthmān) as a fool. And we say: You are the innovator (mubtadi') in this saying which you have claimed that it is a bid'ah. How can it be bid'ah when the Messenger (حَوَالَيْنَا عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَمَاً has called it a Sunnah, "... [follow] the Sunnah of the rightly-guided Caliphs after me." However, those people (who say this) are foolish-minded,

⁴⁴ Sharh Riyād al-Ṣālihīn, in the chapter on the excellence of the adhān (Dar al-Salam, 1st edition, 1423H, p. 1278)

even if they are senior in age. How can you declare the Companions to be astray with their leader 'Uthmān bin 'Affān. And yet you claim that you are a person of the Sunnah? Rather, you are a person of bid'ah in this saying."⁴⁵

And **Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn** also said, "As for the one who rejects it from the newly-arisen ones and says, 'It is a bid'ah' and declares 'Uthmān (خوَلَيْهَا) to have been misguided (in his action), **then he is the astray innovator**."⁴⁶

This is a matter that the Hajūrīte Innovators **are persisting upon** even after all of their alleged evidences have been annihilated and invalidated and they are left with nothing but **pure taqlīd** of whoever expressed this viewpoint before them and following their desires and wallowing in **ta'aṣṣub** (bigoted partisanship). This issue alone is sufficient and clear enough to enter them into the ranks of the Mubtadi'ah (Innovators) and none should be deceived by them and their refutations against the refutations of Ahl al-Sunnah against them. Even if they were correct in every single thing that they claim - for argument's sake - whilst they continue to hold this view towards the action of 'Uthmān ()), **they are Innovators just on this account alone** and their being correct in everything else - *if we accept that purely for argument's sake* - will avail them nothing.

So no one is deceived by these people except that in his heart there is something of belittlement of the honour of the Companions (رَحَوَلَلْهُ عَنْظُ

⁴⁵ Recorded lesson on Sharh Riyāḍ al-Ṣāliḥīn. Refer to <u>http://alhajuri.com/?fvwezev</u> for audio.

⁴⁶ Refer to <u>http://alhajuri.com/?mzwdxmm</u> for full documentation of these three statements of the Shaykh.

and this is why, when you look at the people of knowledge, there is no one who is actually defending al-Ḥajūrī because anyone from the people of knowledge who comes to know the views which al-Ḥajūrī is upon and defending will not hesitate to declare him an innovator - just on one issue, let alone a collection of them. Thus, when you say to a Ḥajūrite, 'Which scholar is with al-Ḥajūrī and defends him on the issue of the adhān of 'Uthmān' you will only get deafening silence.

After everything which has preceded, there is only one way to deal with these people, and it is not through argumentation or debate. Let us take a look at this way.

How to Silence a Hājurite (or Tens, Hundreds and Thousands of them), Force them to Flee on Their Heels and Prove They are Upon Bidʿah and Dalālah Without Argument or Debate

To all of Ahl al-Sunnah in all corners of the Earth where the Hājūrites have a presence: It is not necessary to debate or waste time with the Hajāwirah, the scholars warn against debates with them. However, the Hajūrites have a lot of zeal and activity in spreading their shubuhāt online, and if Ahl al-Sunnah remain silent, it leaves the impression, just by the sheer amount of activity of these Hajūrites, that they are upon the truth. If you *were* to do something and it had to be effective, then we suggest the following:⁴⁷ Invite a Hājurite, or tens, or hundreds or a thousand of them - whilst you are just a single person - find a decent sized mosque that can accommodate you all. Make it clear this is not a debate, but simply wudhū, two rakʿahs of prayer and a supplication to Allāh (عَزَيْمَا):

Take the lead and perform your two rakʿahs of prayer, gather your heart, bring to mind your love of ʿUthmān (مَوَالَيْهَا عَلَى), the Ṣahābah (مَوَالَيْهَا عَلَى) and 1400 years of Salafi Scholarship from the time of the Companions during ʿUthmān's reign to this day of ours. Think about all of that for a while until your emotions develop and gain momentum, then raise your hands and make duʿa *aloud and openly* with the following, being firm and certain in your speech:

⁴⁷ This section is present as an illustration of the strength of position of Ahl al-Sunnah who venerate and love the Companions (مَوَالَيَهُمَةُ) and between the Ḥajūrite Ḥaddādīs who are indifferent to the numerous revilements by their leader of the Companions.

O Allāh, that which I hold as my dīn before You is that 'Uthmān (مَوَاللَّعَنَّة) instituted a Sunnah for an intelligible reason which the Companions understood and which was taken as acceptance and implemented by the Companions and the Scholars of Ahl al-Sunnah and the Ummah thereafter. The Messenger (مَتَأَلِّنَدُعَلَيْهُوسَلَمَ) indicated to us that there would be affairs which the Rightly-Guided Caliphs would institute and would be from his Sunnah and I believe that the action of 'Uthmān was rightly-guided and I am following the overwhelming majority of the Ummah with almost entirely no exception in this matter, and I am upon what Alī (مَوَاللَّهُمَنَّهُ) and the rest of the Companions were upon, likewise the Scholars of Islām to this day of ours, including Shaykh al-Fawzān, Shaykh Rabī, Shaykh Ibn Baz, Shaykh Ibn al-Uthaymīn and many others are upon, along with the tafsil (detail) provided by Imām al-Albānī on the matter. O Allāh if I and all of these Scholars thereafter and the majority of the Ummah are believing what amounts to a lie against your religion, and propagating a lie against your religion by holding this view, then may the curse of Allāh, the Angels, and all of mankind be upon the liars. Amīn.

Then turn to the $H\bar{a}$ jurite (or the tens, hundreds or thousands of them) and demand that if they are truthful, that they invoke All \bar{a} h earnestly with the following:

O Allāh, that which I hold as my dīn before you is that 'Uthmān⁴⁸ instituted a bid'ah (innovation), a mukhālafah (opposition), and a

⁴⁸ We have not added (مَعَالَيْهَمَّهُ) after the name of 'Uthmān (مَعَالَيْهَمَّهُ) here in the speech demanded from the Ḥaddādi Ḥājūrites because they are essentially

dalālah (misguidance) in the religion as textually stated by my shaykh Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī, and that the Companions present in his time corroborated this bid'ah and acted upon it and became callers to it by their action and that the hujjah is established according to the argument of my shaykh Yahyā al-Hajūrī because all of them knew that the Messenger (مَتَأَلِقَدُعَانَةُ وَوَسَلَمَ did not do it and he (مَرَأَلِقَدَعَانَي وَسَالَم) had warned them continuously and persistently to beware of newly-invented matters. I hold, as does my shaykh Yahyā al-Hajūrī, that this action of 'Uthmān was an umm al-bid'ah (mother of innovation) which settled in the ummah and was unfortunately used as a justification for other innovations as stated by my shaykh Yahyā al-Hajūrī, and that anyone who followed 'Uthman in that bid'ah (innovation) and mukhālafah (opposition) after knowing the evidence then he is an innovator (mubtadi') whoever that may be, from the time of the Companions to this day of ours - since the one who acts on an innovation is automatically a caller to innovation according to my shaykh Yahyā al-Hajūrī.49 I consider the statements of Shavkh al-Fawzān, and Shaykh Ibn Bāz and Shaykh Ibn 'Uthaymīn, Shaykh Rabī' and all other contemporary Scholars who defend the action of 'Uthmān to be misguided because they

claiming Allāh is **NOT** pleased with the action of 'Uthmān (رَحْوَلَيْنَعْنَدُ) because it is bid'ah and dalālah and mukhālafah of the Messenger (مَرَالَسَّعَلَيْهُوَسَدَرَ) which spread into the ummah and is implemented the world over. Thus, that action of 'Uthmān - in their view - has led to innovation and misguidance being propagated throughout the entire ummah. And - upon their view - the reality of the matter is that how could 'Uthmān not know this, and how come this practice was allowed to continue when thousands of Companions were witness to this?

 $^{^{49}}$ Al-Ḥajūrī vehemently defends this principle as has preceded and he does not distinguish between the caller and the non-caller.

only lend support to the bid'ah instituted by 'Uthmān whose action You are no doubt displeased with O Allāh, as the action of 'Uthmān according to my shaykh Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī, has been used to justify other innovations in the religion. O Allāh if I and my shaykh Yaḥyā al-Ḥajūrī are propagating a lie in all of this (after the proof presented to us by our opponents), then may the curse of Allāh, the Angels and all of mankind be upon the liars. Amīn.

It is not possible for any Hajūrite to deny any of the above or argue about it, because all of this is either textually written or verbally expressed by al-Hajūrī or necessitated by his corrupt usūl and the Hājūrites have been defending this bid ah of al-Hajūrī for many years, hence it is mutawatir from them and about them and is undeniable. These are their very views, explicit or implied. So they should not be cowardly in expressing them as clearly as we have expressed these views for them. If they are truthful in their belief, and sincere to Allāh and consider their position to be a defence of Allāh's dīn, then let the cowards come out and invoke the curse of Allah upon the liars if indeed they are truthful in this issue! There is no other way to deal with a Hājūrite other than this, because they are an argumentative, incessant people who will waste your time. If you have to have any engagement with them, this is the only way. Do not entertain any other discussion on any other issue, because that is part of their strategy, to divert you from the most obvious and clear of their bid ahs which render them misguided innovators and then to deceive the people by portraying that al-Hajūrī and the Hajāwirah have been wronged and lied upon!

Finally, as Ibn Taymiyyah said, "It is strange (amazing) that the Rāfiḍah reject something that 'Uthmān did in [open] view of the Anṣār and the Muhājirīn without them rejecting it from him and which all the

Muslims followed him in, and that is the adhan of Jumu'ah."50 It is strange that the Hajurites, following the Rafidah, reject something Uthmān (مَعَوَلَتَهُعَنهُ) did in [open] view of the Anṣār and the Muhājirīn without them rejecting it from him and which all the Muslims followed him in, and that is the adhan of Jumu'ah. And Shaykh Rabī' said, "The eye of no person is pleased with a rejected narration⁵¹ whose import is revilement upon the Companions of Muhammad (صَبَاللَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَمَ) in that they affirmed an innovation that was announced every week in the highest (most open) of places, whilst Allah has praised them, that they are the best of the ummah, so He said, "You are the best nation brought out for mankind, you enjoin the good and prohibit the evil"."52 As for the Hajūrites, their bid ah necessitates that those Companions present in the time did not forbid the evil and therefore cannot truly be "the best of mankind" and this counts as a revilement upon them as indicated in the speech of Shaykh Ibn al-'Uthaymīn as has preceded. Refuge is with Allāh from such misguidance in which the Rāfidah find pleasure and support.

And may the salāt and salām be upon the Messenger Muḥammad, his family and all of his Companions and whoever followed his guidance.

Abu ʿIyāḍ Amjad Rafīq 19th Shawwāl 1435H / 15th August 2014 Updated 9th Dhul Ḥijjah / 3rd September 2014 Version 1.1

⁵⁰ Minhaj al-Sunnah (6/293).

⁵¹ The narration through Hishām bin al-Ghāz going back to Ibn ʿUmar.

⁵² In the Shaykh's article, "*al-Dhabb* 'an *Khalifah al-Rāshid* '*Uthmān*" in refutation of the extremist Ḥajūrite, Yūsuf bin al-ʿĪd al-ʿInābī al-Jazā'rī.

Appendix: Scanned Images from Al-Hajurī's Book

A-A-A-A-A-A-جميع حقوق الملكية الأدبية والفنية محفوظة للمؤلف الطبعة الأولى ل: كاللافافليجتل كار مسيسيم، مرحل عام، مردر القروبي المردر. لِلذَيْثِ رَوَالبَّوَزِيْعِ وَالفِيَوْتِيَاتِ ويُحظر طبع أو تصوير أو ترجمة أو إعادة تنضيد الكتاب كاملا أو مُجزأ أو تسجيله على أشرطت كاسيت أو إدخاله على الكمبيوتر أو برمجته على إسطوانات ضوئية إلا بموافقة خطية من المؤلف AT ... 7 - A1274 رقم الإيداع بدار الكتب المصرية AT ... 7 / 10TTA ٦ شايع عَزِيزِفَانُوْسَ مَنْشِيَة التِحْيَرَ جِسُرَلِسَوْسُ - القَاهِرَة هَانِفَ: ٢٠٢/٢٤١٤٢٤٨ ... نليفاكن: ٢٠٢/٦٣٦٥٢٣٨. جَوَال: ۲/۱۰۶۰۱۰۶۰۱۰۲۰۰ E-Mail:Dar_Alemam_Ahmad@yahoo.Com

الحاء الجمعة ويجعها TED , هذا باب واسع جدير أن يفرد بمؤلف مستقل، لولا كثرة الأشغال، وإنبا ذك نا وذا القدر منه برهانًا على ما قاله الإمام ابن حزم -رحمه الله- أن أصحاب رسه ل الله 🚓 کانوا يطلبون سنن رسول الله حيث وجدوها، فيعملون سا. قلت: وتالله ما وصلتنا إلا عن طريقهم لاهتمامهم البالغ مها. قال ابن حزم: فمن كان متبعًا للخلفاء الراشدين؛ فليتبعهم فيما أجعوا عليه من اتباع سنن النّبي عليه، وفيها نهوا عنه من التكلف. اه باختصار من إحكام الأحكام الباب السادس والعشرين. قلت: وحديث العرباض ٢٠ الذي اتخذوه شبهة لجواز الأذان الأول المذكور، فيه قد مهم يهدم كل ما بنوه، ويشتت كل ما جمعوه حول هذه المسألة: ألا وهو قوله عليه في أخر الحديث: «وإياكم ومحدثات الأمور؛ فإن كل بدعة ضلالة. فتقول لمن عنده أدنى علم بسنة رسول الله ص من القائلين بالأذان الأول: هل هذا الأذان من هدي رسول الله عليه الذي هو خبر الهدي كما في صحيح مسلم من حديث جابر، أم أنه محدث أحدثه عثمان بن عفان، كما في حديث السانب في صحيح البخاري وكما نقل الإجماع فيها قدمنا عن أهل العلم أنه محدث؟! فإن قال: إنه من هدي رسول الله عليه؛ فهو الكذاب الأشر ولم يجد من عليه، السلمين من يوافقه على هذه الكذبة المفضوحة. وإن قال كما قال جميع علماء الأمة: إنه ليس من فعل رسول الله عليه، وإنه عدت كما أجمع على ذلك علماء الإسلام.

= أحكام الجمعة وبحعها (10. قلنا له: ألا ترى في الحديث أن رسول الله عليه عِذْرِكَ من محدثات الأمور ويقول: إنها ضلالة. وقد يقول بعض المعاندين: هل كان عثمان الله منه لما فعل ذلك مبتدعًا ضالاً قلنا: معاذ الله!! فهو خليفة راشد وزوج ابنتي رسول الله ﷺ، وقد قال عنه رسول الله عليه: من يشتري بثر روما وله الجنة؟ فاشتراها عثمان وجعلها للمسلمين وقال لأبي موسى: اتذن له وبشره بالجنة مع بلوي تصيبه. ولكنه اجتهد -رضوان الله عليه- حيث جعل مؤذنًا بالسوق وليس بالمسجد، ليشعر الناس بقرب وقت الصلاة. وتقدم أن نقلنا عن السبكي اختلاف تلك الحالة على زمننا هذا ومع ذلك فقد أخطأ ﷺ في هذا، وهو ﷺ في ذلك معذور وعلى اجتهاده وحسن قصد: مأجور، وله مثل هذا الخطأ في إتمام الصلاة بمنيٍّ، وقد كان رسول الله عِنْتُهُ وأصحابه يقصرون بمني. وانظر بابًا عقده الإمام البخاري في صحيحه (٢/ ٥٦٣) الثاني من كتاب تقصير الصلاة فقال: باب الصلاة بمنيَّ، ثم ذكر حديث ابن عمر، وحارثة بن وهب، وعبد الله ابن مسعود أن النبي عليه وأبا بكر وعمر وعثران في أول أمره كلهم كانوا بمنى يقصرون الرباعية ركعتين، وهذا هو الواجب على المسافر؛ لحديث عائشة مخط أن النّبي عليمة قال: افرضت الصلاة ركعتين ركعتين، فأقرت صلاة السفر وزيد في الحضر ..

ثم إن عثبان الله في آخر أمره كان يتم الصلاة بمنى، فقيل لابن مسعود فقال:

أحكام الجمعة وبجعها Tor وزه الأخطاء كلها صواب. وقد كانوا يبحثون عن الحق والسنة ولم يقل كل واحد: أنا نجم وفعلى هدي، الآخر كذلك؛ فهذا يدل على بطلان هذا الحديث المكذوب، قاتل الله من وضعه. ، انظر لذلك سلسلة الأحاديث الضعيفة للعلامة الألباني -رحمة الله عليه-(ج1) (ص ٧٨) الحديث (٥٨). شبهة ثالثة ساقطة: وأما بعض عميان البصيرة فيستدلون بحديث جرير بن عبد الله البجلي عند مسلم أن النَّبي ٢٢٠ قال: دمن سن في الإسلام سنة حسنة فله أجرها وأجر من عمل بها إلى يوم القيامة». وهذا الفهم لا يكون إلا من ممسوخ الفطرة. وإلا فإن السنة شرع من عند الله، قال تعالى عن نبيه: ﴿وَمَا يَطِقُ عَنِ ٱلْمَوَى لَيْ إِنْ هُوَ إِلَّا وَتَى يُوْتَى ﴾ [النجم: ٣-٤]. فهل يجوز لمسلم أن ينصب نفسه مشرعًا سننًا من الدين لم يأذن بها الله في كتابه أو في سنة نبيه؟! قال تعالى: ﴿ أَمْ لَهُمْ شَرَكَتُوا شَرَعُوا لَهُم مِّنَ الذِّينِ مَا لَمْ يَأْذَنَا بِدِ اللَّهُ ﴾ [الشورى: ۲۱]. وقال تعالى: ﴿وَمَن يُشَاقِقِ ٱلرَّسُولَ مِنْ بَعْدِ مَا نَبَيَّنَ لَهُ ٱلْهُدَىٰ وَيَتَّبِعُ غَبَرَ سَيِدِلِ ٱلْمُوْمِنِينَ تُوَلِّدِهَا تَوَلَّى وَتُصْلِهِ جَهَنَّمْ وَسَاءَتَ مَعِيدًا ﴾ [النساء: ١١٥]. وإنها المراد من الحديث: من أحيا طريقة من الدين قد أميتت؛ لأن السنة في

الجمعة وبجعها TOY «والأصل الثاني»: أن قول الصحابي مختلف في الاحتجاج به أصلاً، ومن قال بأنه حجة اشترط الا مخالف نصًّا من القرآن أو السنة، وألا يخالفه غيره من الصحابة -رضوان الله عليهم-، فإذا خالف نصًّا من الكتاب أو من السنة كان مردودًا عليه، وإذا خالفه غيره من الصحابة لم يكن قوله حجة بلا خلاف بين العلماء في ذلك. قال صاحب مراقى السعود: رأى الصحابي على الأصحاب لا يكون حجة في قول من خلا وقد قرر هاتين المسألتين أهل أصول الفقه، ونقلوا الإجماع على ذلك، انظر إعلام الموقعين للإمام ابن القيم –رجمه الله– (٤/ ١٥٥)، ومذكرة أصول الفقه للشتميطي (ص١٦٤-١٦٦)، ومعالم أصول الفقه للجيزاني (ص٢٢٢-٢٢٧). فهل توفر في الأذان الأول هذان الشرطان أو أحدهما؟! الجواب المتفق عليه بين جميع أهل العلم: أن هذين الشرطين لم يتوفرا. فقد خالف فعل عثمان ﷺ نصًّا صريحًا من فعل النَّبي ﷺ أنه لم يكن يفعل هذا الأذان، بل ولا أبو بكر ولا عمر كما في صحيح البخاري. الثاني: أن عثمان قد خالفه في هذا الفعل جمع من الصحابة كابن عمر وابن الزبر وآخرون من التابعين وغيرهم. * * * * *

> الجمعة وبجعها = (10 _{فطل} أيضًا أن يقتصر على أذان واحد كما صرح بذلك الشافعي في الأم، ثم ذكر كلام المانعي الذي ذكرناه أنفًا في القول رقم (٩) من كتاب الأم للشافعي (ج۱ ص١٧٣)، _{فنون} خوالف مقلدي الإمام الشافعي هذا النص الموثق من مصدره المعتمد عن الإمام الثانعي -رحمه الله- عسى أن يكون حافزًا لمن وفقه الله رَجَّلُهُ منهم لنصح نفسه والنجرد عن الهوى، في أن يأخذ من حيث أخذ هذا الإمام، فيعمل بسنة رسول الله على بصيرة ونور دون عصبية وتقليد: ﴿قُلْ إِن كُنتُمْ تُعِبُّونَ اللَّهُ فَأَيَّعُونَ يُعْصِبْكُمُ ٱللَّه المَدْ لَكُر دُنُوبَكُر وَالله عَنُونُ تَحِيدُ ﴾ [آل عمر ان: ٣١]. ١٥ - شيخ الإسلام ابن تيمية: سئل –رحمه الله– عن الصلاة بعد الأذان الأول يوم الجمعة، هل فعله النَّبي ص أو أحد من الصحابة والتابعين والأئمة أم لا؟ فأجاب: الحمد لله رب العالمين.. أما النَّبِي ﷺ فإنه لم يكن يصلي قبل الجمعة بعد الأذان شيئًا، ولا نقل هذا عنه أحد، فإن النَّبِي عَظِيْتُ كان لا يؤذن على عهده إلا إذا قعد على المنبر ويؤذن بلال، ثمّ يخطب النّبي عليه الخطبتين، ثمّ يقيم بلال فيصلي النَّبِي ٢٢٠ بالناس، فيا كان يمكن أن يصلي بعد الأذان لا هو ولا أحد من المسلمين الذين يصلون معه، ولا نقل عنه أحد أنه صلَّى في بيته قبل الخروج يوم الجمعة ... إلى أن قال: وفمذا كان جماهير الأثمة متفقين على أنه ليس قبل الجمعة سنة مؤقتة بوقت مقدّرة بعدد. اه المراد من مجموع الفتاوي (ج٢٤ ص ١٨٩ -١٨٩)، و الكبري (ج١ ص١٣٩). قلت: وهذه البدعة إنها ولدت من تلك الأم، بدعة الأذان الأول ولها بنيات غير هذه سيأتي ذكرها -إن شاء الله-.

EIV المحاء الجمعة وبجعها = _{حليث} السائب بن يزيد من سنن الترمذي (ج۲ ص٣٠٥) قال -وما أحسن ما _{قال~:} الأذان الأول أول شريعة غيرت في الإسلام على وجه طويل ليس من هذا اليان، وكان كها ذكر الأثمة على عهد رسول الله على أذانان: الأول: الأذان عند صعود الإمام على المنبر للخطبة. والثاني: الإقامة، قال: فأما بالمشرق فيؤذنون كأذان قرطبة، وأما بالمغرب فيؤذنون ثلاثة من المؤذنين بجهل المفتين؛ فإنهم لما سمعوا أنها ثلاثة لم يفهموا أن الإنامة هي النداء الثالث، فجمعوها وجعلوها ثلاثة غفلة وجهلاً بالسنة؛ فإن الله تعالى لا يغير ديننا ولا يسلبنا ما وهبنا من نعمة. اهـ.. قلت: وهذه بدعة تراسل المؤذنين التي سيأتي ذكرها هي من بنات تلك الأم، التي تقدم ذكرها في القول رقم (١٥) عند قول شيخ الإسلام، وساعد على نشرها أولئك الفقهاء الأنكاد بسوء فهمهم. ٢٠ - اين قدامة الحنبلي: قال –رحمه الله–: أما مشروعية الأذان عقب صعود الإمام؛ فلا خلاف فيه، فقد كان على عهد رسول الله على في وأبي بكر وعمر، فلما كان عثمان زاد النداء الثالث على الزوراء، والأذان الذي يمنع البيع ويلزم السعي: هو الذي كان على عهد رسول الله على الزوراء، والأذان الذي فتعلق الحكم به دون غيره. اهـ من المغني (ج٣ ص١٦٢، ١٦٣). قال -رحمه الله- بعد ذكر الآية: ﴿ يَتَأَيُّهَا ٱلَّذِينَ مَامَنُوّاً إِذَا تُودِكَ لِلصَّلَوَةِ مِن يَوْم الْجُمْعَة فَاسْتَوْا إِلَى ذِكْرِ اللَّهِ وَذَرُوا أَلْبَتْعَ ﴾ [الجمعة: ٩].

أحكام الجمعة ويجعها to. خاتمة يحث المسألة قد يقول بعض المعانيد: إذا قلتم: إن هذا الأذان بدعة، فهل كان عثان الله ال فعا. ذلك مستدعًا؟ قلنا: معاذ الله؛ فعثمان الله خليفة راشد، وقد قال النَّبِي عَلَيْهِ: «ما لي لا أستحيى مما تستحيى منه الملائكة». وقال: «من يشتري بئر روما وله الجنة». فاشتراها عثيان، وجعلها للمسلمين. وقال لأبي موسى: «ائذن له وبشره بالجنة مع بلوي تصيبه». وكل هذه الأحاديث ثابتة عن رسول الله عليه، منها ما هو في الصحيحين، ومنها ما هو صحيح في خارجهما، ولعثمان الله من المناقب الكثيرة غير ذلك، وحسبه أنه مبشر بالجنة، كما تقدم حديث أبي موسى في الصحيحين: «ائذن له وبشره بالجنة، وحديث سعيد بن زيد وغيرهما. لكنه ١٠ اجتهد فجعل مؤذنًا بالسوق ليشعر الناس بقرب وقت الصلاة، ولم يكن ذلك الأذان بالمسجد كما يفعل بعض المسلمين الآن، ومع اختلاف على حال بعض الناس الآن؛ فإننا أيضًا نعتبر ذلك الأذان من أصله غير مشروع، وأن أمير المؤمنين عثهان أخطأ باجتهاده هذا، فهو على اجتهاده وحسن قصده مأجور، وهو

احكام الجمعة وبدعها = (0) شهيد ومن العشرة المبشرين بالجنة وذنبه مغفور، أما من تابعه على ذلك الخطأ بعد بيان الحجة فهو في ذلك مبتدع، لا عذر له في خالفة سنة رسول الله على وصاحبيه. هذا حاصل ما يتعلق بالأذان الأول للجمعة، وقد جهدنا ألا يكون فيه تطويل ممل ولا اختصار مخل، وكان قصدنا من ذلك الامتثال لقول الله تعالى: إِذَا كَرْ فَإِنَّ ٱلذِّكْرَى نَنفَعُ ٱلْمُوَّمِنِينَ ﴾ [الذاريات: ٥٥]. والحمد لله رب العالمن. ***